

Sept. 5, 2020

To: District Judge, District Attorney, Sheriff, Van Zandt County, TX

**Complaint of a pattern of unlawful appropriations
of property with intent to deprive the owner of property**

31.03. **THEFT**. (a) A person commits an offense if he unlawfully appropriates property with intent to deprive the owner of property.

31.01 (4) "Appropriate" means: (A) to bring about a transfer or purported transfer of title to or other **nonpossessory interest in property**, whether to the actor or another; or

THEFT NO. 1

like unlawful judgment liens

Upon Motion for Sanctions – for me supposedly having made - "a mockery of all lawyers and the entire judicial system" – visiting **Judge Paul Banner**, at a hearing on such motion - punished me \$62,885 – plus 10% interest – for being "well-intentioned" - but my evidence not sufficiently "suggesting" to him – was of course a jury case – and judge Banner telling opposing side to reduce such into an ORDER.

And in such order – titled Order on Motion for Sanctions – opposing scoundrels of course included no such nonsense – no reason as required by Rule 13, instead stealthily inserting the phrase "This JUDGMENT rendered" – just above the signature - forging this document into being "judgment-like".

And these scoundrels did indeed dupe the district clerk into producing abstract of judgment, filing such with the county clerk to put liens against my property – to STEAL themselves a "nonpossessory interest" in my property – all upon a mere – and obscenely unlawful mere order – clearly NOT a judgment – nothing was adjudicated – unlawful unconditional punishment – unlawful by civil process.

And for evidence of "mens rea" – evil mind and intent – I present much later Findings of Fact and Conclusions of law – where they try to cover their ass by painting such unlawful punishment – as having been a bench trial – just read this stuff – and compare to the original "well-intentioned".

Also note the TWELVE years later – REVIVAL – by writ of scire faces – of such \$62,885 Order on Motion for Sanction Judgment – into more "judgment-sounding" - "sanction judgment" – by this time including the 10% interest – climbing into the stratosphere – 'thems' ever after still holding tightly onto stolen property. END – theft no. 1.

THEFT NO. 2

Upon yet another Motion for Sanctions - TWO (2) years down the line – same song, second verse – additional \$125,770 sanction – exactly twice the earlier \$62,885 – reads like the ravings of a madman – by visiting judge Rom Chapman – who did not hear an iota of the case – cannot sign any judgment - under any circumstances – certainly not yet another judgment – this is the THIRD “judgment” – there can only be ONE judgment – i.e. Final Judgment.

Same dupe the district clerk, same Abstract of judgment crap, writ of execution to send sheriff with a gun, and of course again “unlawful appropriation of property. etc.” END – theft no. 2.

THEFT NO. 3

“revival of theft no. 1”

And at a hearing to revive judgment – of the \$62,885 sanction - by writ of scire facias to revive judgment – TWELVE years down the PIKE – the amount, with the 10% interest reaching into the stratosphere and going ever higher, ‘thems’ re-executed same again, abstract, writ, “nonpossessary”, etc.

Full AUDIO of this hearing on my DamnCourthouseCriminals.com, as are ALL the documents referred, and lots, lots, lots more – including the fraudulent BEAVER DAM case that ensnared me in this frapping court in the first place – and that unconscionable Westfall legal fee suit – claiming an unpaid open account. No such account ever. Matter of account never submitted to the jury. ALL FRAUD.

THEFT NO. 4

“accomplices after the fact – or just useful idiots”

And again and again I informed the district clerk that there cannot be THREE judgments in the same case – that these are mere ORDERS – obscenely unlawful at that – to be told that she was told that if it had a number in it – then it was a judgment – and me advising her that only a “judgment creditor is entitled to the aid of the court” – and that these were NOT judgments – for they did NOT “adjudicate” – certainly NOT by jury - for me to see only a blank expression on her face. Enough said for now.

UDO BIRNBAUM
540 VZ County Road 2916
Eustace, TX 750124
903 479-3929
BRNBM@AOL.COM