7-30-2002 "Sanction Hearing". Compare the "well-intentioned" here, with all the POISON WORDS in the ONE YEAR LATER "Finding of Fact" ! HINT: The "Finding" was a CYA - for all this done WITHOUT THE JURY. damages, \$5,000.00 in punitive and the joint and several 1 \$50,085.00 in attorneys' fees. Mr. Birnbaum's sanctions as 2 against Mr. Fleming or against the P.C. is denied and nothing З was a JURY trial. Why is HE well-intentioned 4 is ordered. weighing the evidence? In assessing the sanctions, the Court has 5 6 taken into consideration that although Mr. Birnbaum may be HEREIN lies the real reason! "civil RICO" well-intentioned and may pelieve that he had some kind of 7 8 real claim as far as RICO there was nothing presented to the 9 court ip any of the proceedings since I've been involved that suggest he had any basis in law or in fact to support his 10 11 suits against the individuals, and I think -- can find that such sanctions as I've determined are appropriate. And if 12 you will provide me with an appropriate sanctions order, I 13 \$62,885 Sanction - for a "well-intentioned" COUNTER-14 will reflect it. CLAIM - a First Amendment Right ! Official Oppression Now, as far as relief for sanctions on behalf 15 of Mr. Westfall, individually, that is specifically denied. 16 17 Any relief sought by any party by way of 18 sanctions which have not been specifically addressed either 19 by the granting or the denial of same -- such is denied. 20 Okay. How soon can I expect an order because 21 I gather this matter will go up to whatever appropriate "Oh HORROR of HORRORS - a Pro Se - with appeals court for review? 22 a CIVIL RACKETEERING counter-claim!" 23 I will give Mr. Birnbaum the MR. FLEMING: 24 I'll submit it to him. And if I don't statutory three days. 25 hear back from him, I'll submit it to you after. www.OpenJustice.US

7

Excerpt from Hearing Held 7-30-02