In plain English, it indicates he had STOLEN from his client.

This was shortly after he had also stolen about \$85,000, another \$62,000, and another \$125,000 - FROM ME.

\*

DETAILS www.DamnCourthouseCriminals.com.



# Frank C. Fleming

This lawyer was disciplined by a state licensing authority in 2004.

Annotated documents below

Read more

☐ Save

Not yet reviewed

AVVO RATING (1) Attention (1)

O Dallas, TX

Licensed for 27 years

#### NOTES:

This Frank C. Fleming was the lawyer who got those astronomical sums against me in that fraudulent "open account" suit against me – which is the main "subject" of my <a href="https://www.DamnCourthouseCriminals.com">www.DamnCourthouseCriminals.com</a> (NOTE: this link will NOT work out of this PDF)

I found out about this disciplining against him when he appeared as a "pro hac vice" – in my <u>Petition for Declaratory Relief</u> – to the Tyler Federal Court.

And I actually sat in – in the Dallas 14th District Court – where they had this "trial" – in some small cranny downstairs next to the cafeteria – and the "visiting judge" at lunchtime actually approaching me – trying to find out WHO it was WHO had been sitting in, and WHY!

And in trying to get the actual Complaint – to put my request "into line" – and getting tired of waiting – took the elevator to the 14th Court – had a nice conversation with what I now assume may have been the court coordinator – or maybe the judge herself - and she kindly made me a copy of the <u>Disciplinary Petition</u> – and I thanked her.

Thinking about it now – it may have been Judge Mary Murphy herself – based on my later learning about some flap in the news – about some "king of mesostheilioma (Asbestus)" – having funded getting her off the bench – but I may be mistaken.

But if I am right - it would have been the same Mary Murphy - with which I later so tangled as the Presiding Judge of the First Administrative Judicial Region.

With such said – I present the following documents – all ANNOTATED.

In plain English - he had STOLEN from his client

NO. 01-01332

COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE

V.

FRANK C. FLEMING

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

DALLAS COUNTY, TEX

A-14th judicial distric

## **DISCIPLINARY PETITION AND REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE**

§ § §

#### TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Petitioner, the Commission for Lawyer Discipline, a committee of the State Bar of Texas (hereinafter called "Petitioner"), complains of Respondent, Frank C. Fleming, (hereinafter called "Respondent"), showing the Court:

I.

### **Discovery Control Plan**

Pursuant to Rules 190.1 and 190.3, TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE (TRCP), Petitioner intends discovery in this case to be conducted under the Level II Discovery Control Plan.

II.

Petitioner brings this disciplinary action pursuant to the State Bar Act, Tex. Gov't. Code Ann. §81.001, et seq. (Vernon 1988), the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct and the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure. The complaint which forms the basis of the Disciplinary Petition was filed on or after May 1, 1992.

III.

Respondent is an attorney licensed to practice law in Texas and is a member of the State Bar of Texas. Respondent is a resident of and has his principal place of practice in Dallas County, Texas. Private Process Services will serve Respondent at his work address at 6611 Hillcrest, #305, Dallas.

Exhibit

hail

Texas 75205-1301.

IV.

Elizabeth Chapman (hereinafter referred to as "Chapman") hired Respondent to finalize her pending divorce. Chapman paid Respondent \$3,800.00 of a \$5,000.00 retainer. Respondent later billed Chapman for additional fees that Chapman disputed. A money order for \$2,500.00 from Chapman's husband that was intended for Chapman's spousal support was delivered to Respondent's office. Without Chapman's permission, Respondent signed Chapman's name to the check and deposited it into his account. Chapman fired Respondent. When Chapman went to Respondent's office to copy her file, Respondent refused to return her file, and physically removed Chapman. Chapman called the police. A police report was filed in which the reporting officer stated that Respondent locked himself in his office and refused to open the door and speak with him. Respondent falsely stated to the Grievance Committee that he opened his door and spoke with the reporting officer.

V.

Such acts and/or omissions on the part of Respondent as are described in Paragraphs IV and hereinabove, which occurred on or after January 1, 1990, constitute conduct that violates Rules 1.14, 1.15, and 8.04(a)(3) of the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct.

VII.

The complaint which forms the basis of the Cause of Action hereinabove set forth was brought to the attention of the Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel of the State Bar of Texas by Elizabeth Chapman filing a complaint on or about March 4, 2003.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Petitioner prays for judgment that Respondent be disciplined as the facts shall warrant; and that Petitioner have such other relief to which entitled, including costs of Court and attorney's fees.

#### REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

Pursuant to Tex. R. Civ. P. 194, Respondent/you are requested to disclose, within 50 days of service of this request, the information or material described in Rule 194.2(a) - (k), Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

Respectfully submitted,

Dawn Miller
Chief Disciplinary Counsel

**DeAnne Claire** 

Assistant Disciplinary-Counsel State Bar of Texas Litigation - Dallas 3710 Rawlins Suite 800 Dallas, Texas 75219 (214) 559-4353 FAX (214) 559-4335

DeAnne Claire

State Bar Card No. 00789069

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONER

SIDELINE: I cannot "high-light" the text - for there is no "TEXT" underlying the "IMAGE" - i.e. it is not an "image over text" PDF document - i.e. it was not run through "OCR" - Optical Character Recognition. This note for info ONLY.

This is the appointment of a judge - to come and do - the FLEMING Disciplinary Trial

## ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

Misc. Docket No. 04 - 9015

Appointment of a District Judge to Preside in a State Bar Disciplinary Action

The Supreme Court of Texas hereby appoints the Honorable Sid L. Harle, Judge of the 226th District Court of Bexar County, Texas, to preside in the Disciplinary Action styled

The Commission for Lawyer Discipline v. Frank C. Fleming

to be filed in a District Court of Dallas County, Texas.

The Clerk of the Supreme Court shall promptly forward to the District Clerk of Dallas County, Texas, a copy of the Disciplinary Petition and this Order for filing pursuant to Rule 3.03, Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure.

As ordered by the Supreme Court of Texas, in chambers,

With the Seal thereof affixed at the City Of Austin, this 9% day of February, 2004.

ANDREW WEBER, CLERK SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

This is FLEMING showing up in the Tyler Federal Court - where I had filed a "Petition for Declaratory Relief" - to DECLARE that what Judge Paul Banner - and FLEMING had done to me - in the 294th District Court - was "inconsistent with due process of law" - i.e. UNLAWFUL.

## FRANK C. FLEMING

ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR

6611 Hillows Ave., #305 Dallas, SX 75205-1301 lawyer fof @aol.com Voice: 214/373-1234

Fax: 214/373-3232

or Fax: 214/265-1979

May 25, 2004

"PRO HAC VICE" - is Latin for "for one appearance" - since he is not normally licensed for this court.

To the Clerk of the Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division:

Regarding my application to appear pro hac vice, I currently have a pending grievance proceeding in which I was unable to reach a satisfactory resolution with the local grievance committee and in which I have elected to appeal the grievance to District Court. A trial in that proceeding is scheduled to take place in either August or September 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

FRANK C. FLEMING State Bar No. 00784057

Law Office of Frank C. Fleming

6611 Hillcrest Ave., #305 Dallas, Texas 75205-1301

fax: 214/373-3232 or 214/265-1979

phone: 214/373-1234

This is FLEMING showing up in the Tyler Federal Court - where I had filed a "Petition for Declaratory Relief" - to DECLARE that what Judge Paul Banner - and FLEMING had done to me - in the 294th District Court - was "inconsistent with due process of law" - i.e. UNLAWFUL.

"PRO HAC VICE" - is Latin for "for one appearance" - since he is not normally licensed for this court.

This was my "Petition for Declaratory Relief" - to DECLARE that what Judge Paul Banner - and FLEMING - had done to me - in the 294th District Court - was "inconsistent with due process of law" - i.e. UNLAWFUL.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION APPLICATION TO APPEAR PRO HAC NICE

1. This application is being made for the following: Case # 6:04 cv 114

Style: Birnbaum v. Banner, et al.

2. Applicant is representing the following party/ies:

#### Christina Westfall

#### Stefani Podvin

- 3. Applicant was admitted to practice in Texas (state) on November 6, 1992 (date).
- 4. Applicant is in good standing and is otherwise eligible to practice law before this court.
- 5. Applicant is not currently suspended or disbarred in any other court.
- 6. Applicant has had an application for admission to practice before another court denied please circle appropriate language). If so, give complete information on a separate page.
- 7. Applicant has/has not ever had the privilege to practice before another court suspended (please circle).

If so, give complete information on a separate page.

- 8. Applicant has has not been disciplined by a court or Bar Association or committee thereof that would reflect unfavorably upon applicant's conduct, competency or fitness as a member of the Bar (please circle). If so, give complete information on a separate page.
- 9. Describe in detail on a separate page any charges, arrests or convictions for criminal offense(s) filed against you. Omit minor traffic offenses.
- 10. There are pending grievances or criminal matters pending against the applicant.

Ths is the FLEMING Disciplinary Trial - in the Dallas 14th - that I sat in on

11. Applicant has been admitted to practice in the following courts: United States District Court, Northern District of Texas 12. Applicant has read and will comply with the Local Rules of the Eastern District of Texas, including Rule AT-3, the "Standards of Practice to be Observed by Attorneys." 13. Applicant has included the requisite \$25 fee (see Local Rule AT-1(d)). 14. Applicant understands that he/she is being admitted for the limited purpose of appearing in the case specified above only. Application Oath: do solemnly swear (or affirm) that the above information is true; that I will discharge the duties of attorney and counselor of this court faithfully; that I will demean myself uprightly under the law and the highest ethics of our profession; and that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States. Name (please print) Frank C. Fleming State Bar Number 00784057 Firm Name: Law Office of Frank C. Fleming Address/P.O. Box: 6611 Hillcrest Ave., #305 City/State/Zip: Dallas, TX 75205-1301 Telephone #: 214/373-1234 Fax #: 214/373-3232 or 214/265-1979 E-mail Address: lawyerfcf@aol.com Applicant is authorized to enter an appearance as counsel for the party/parties listed above.

This application has been approved for the court this day of

David J. Maland, Clerk

Deputy Clerk

U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas